Achieve Student Assessment Committee Summit

Monday, June 8 and Tuesday, June 9

+ Question:

What recommendations do you have for strengthening or streamlining the assessment program in the Knox County Schools?

+ Seven Areas of Thought

- + Equal Technology Needed and Challenges to Validity are Raised
- + Teachers Need to be Involved in Decision Making
- + Testing Should not Impact Teacher Evaluations OR Multiple Data Points Should be Used
- + Concerns That Testing is not Developmentally Appropriate for Elementary Students
- + Other Stakeholders' Accountability for Test Results Should be Increased
- Increased Teacher Preparation and Materials Should be Provided
- + Characteristics of Testing (Ideas, Concerns, Structures)

- + Equal Technology Needed and Challenges to Validity are Raised
 - + Must be equal technology to compare data between schools
 - + Some Ss have not had opportunities to be fluent with computers
 - + Do paper tests, if that is how Ss are tested in school each week
 - + A technology teacher is needed at each school
 - + Equal troubleshooting support at schools
 - + Concerns about lack of technology; district should provide

- + Teachers Need to be Involved in Decision Making
 - There should be an assessment committee with teachers to make district decisions
 - + Developed by actual teachers who are teaching in classrooms
 - County-wide benchmarks, so similar data is collected across the county
 - + Teachers should be responsible for assessments of students based on standards
 - + Grade level teachers should collaborate on tests
 - + Get rid of bureaucrats, corporations, and testing companies

- + Testing Should not Impact Teacher Evaluations OR Multiple Data Points Should be Used
 - + "High Stakes" testing causes unneeded stress
 - + Give assessments regularly throughout the year, not one test once a year and only use that to evaluate teachers
 - + Do not use to evaluate teachers who do not teach the tested content (P.E., Art, etc.)
 - + Use multiple data points to evaluate teachers

- + Concerns That Testing is not Developmentally Appropriate for Elementary Students
 - + Timed tests for K-2 students should not happen
 - + SPED students should be tested at instructional level/ability level
 - + Testing does not show everything a student has learned
 - + Want students to grow as people and tests do not measure that
 - + Standards for students are too difficult for elementary

- + Other Stakeholders' Accountability for Test Results Should be Increased
 - + Parents and students need to be held accountable for child's growth too
 - + Tests are overwhelming and students just click through the answers when taking online tests
 - + Concerns that students do not do their best on tests and this is not a teacher's fault
 - + Parents should be made aware of test results (STAR, TCAP, etc.)

- Increased Teacher Preparation and Materials Should be Provided
 - + All purchased test materials need to align
 - + Training for testing online is essential for teachers
 - + Better communication and alignment to what we are teaching
 - + All KCS schools should be testing in the same way and Ss to move from school to school should show clearly where they are performing; that has not been clear this year and new students are not coming with the information needed to place on correct instructional level, etc.
 - + Unit tests by district to match standardized tests
 - + Support for and against STAR, DE, TCAP, online testing
 - + Teacher need to learn how to use data, instead of just looking at it and discussing it in PLCs

- + Characteristics of Testing (Ideas, Concerns, Structures)
 - + Testing throughout the year is more helpful (like STAR) than just one test at the end
 - + Allow teachers to test own students with a proctor
 - + Lessen frequency of testing; tested too much
 - + Use more formative assessments throughout the year rather than TCAP
 - + Give pre- and post-tests for each semester to measure
 - + Do not test STAR, TCAP, Social Studies all at the same time
 - + Teachers have been told to teach Common Core Standards, but the TCAP was not reflective of this
 - Mystery surrounds State testing
 - + Concern with school-to-school testing; some impacted greatly, others not as much
 - + Some prefer STAR testing, others would like to return to using DE testing

+ Seven Areas of Thought

- + Reduce the amount of testing and loss of class time.
- + Purposeful assessments that provide usable feedback.
- + Reduce student and teacher stress and allow for more teacher input.
- + More teacher training, materials and resources needed.
- + Schools need more computers and students need more training on computers.
- + Reduce the emphasis on high stakes testing in both student grades and teacher evaluations.
- + Educate the whole child and ensure that tests are age and developmentally appropriate.

- + Reduce the amount of testing and loss of class time.
 - + Concerns that there are too many tests. Students take tests less seriously because they are tested so often.
 - + Suggestion to eliminate all unnecessary tests.
 - + Suggestion to eliminate pilot testing.
 - + Concerns that too much class time is loss due to testing and test prep.

- + Purposeful assessments that provide usable feedback.
 - + There is a need for consistency in standards and testing in order to accurately measure the fidelity of the assessments.
 - + Teachers want better feedback on assessments to share with students and parents and drive instruction.
 - + Benchmark assessments are valuable.
 - + Common assessments are valuable.
 - + Teachers would like their classroom assessments to be viewed with more value.

- + Reduce student and teacher stress and allow for more teacher input.
 - + Teachers and students are under a great deal of stress due to assessments.
 - + The assessment program should solicit and use more teacher input.
 - + Teachers want to be respected and trusted to do their job. Assessment results tied to teacher evaluation has created an environment of distrust.

- + More teacher training, materials and resources needed.
 - + Teachers need training on best instructional practices.
 - + Teachers need the classroom materials and resources necessary to provide meaningful lessons.
 - + Tests should be aligned to the standards being taught.
 - + The standards are too broad. Detailed pacing guides are needed to understand what students will be tested on.
 - + Specialized coaching is valuable.
 - + Communication with teachers regarding standards and the assessment program needs to be improved.

- + Schools need more computers and students need more training on computers.
 - + If assessments are going to be given on computers, schools need to have enough computers to test students without testing over long periods of time.
 - + There are concerns about technology problems during testing.
 - + Students need more training on technology, and technology training should begin early (elementary).
 - + Some teachers are not in support of testing on computers.

- + Reduce the emphasis on high stakes testing in both student grades and teacher evaluations.
 - + The teacher evaluation system is viewed as punitive.
 - + Teacher without individual growth scores do not like being evaluated with the school growth score.
 - + Teachers would like less emphasis placed on achievement and growth scores and take a more holistic view on performance.
 - + Achievement scores should not count as much on a student's overall grade.
 - + TNReady should not count this year for teacher and student scores.
 - + Students and parents need to be held accountable for student achievement, not just teachers.

- + Educate the whole child and ensure that tests are age and developmentally appropriate.
 - + We need to educate students in a way that produces thinkers, problem-solvers and promotes creativity.
 - + Assessments should be age appropriate.
 - + There is concern about the appropriateness of testing for special education students.
 - + Measure actual growth with a pre and post test.
 - + Students will need time to practice with the new testing format.

+ Seven Areas of Thought

- + Too much time spent testing and too much instructional time and planning time lost.
- + More teacher input is needed.
- + Need clear, consistent standards that are aligned to the test. Teachers also need more training and access to appropriate instructional materials.
- + Testing schedules are a challenge.
- + Tests should have a lower impact on teacher evaluations and student grades.
- + Concerns that testing is not differentiated and individualized as classroom instruction is.
- + Need more meaningful feedback on assessments and better communication with parents and students.

- + Too much time spent testing and too much instructional time and planning time lost.
 - + It is hard to maintain a regular classroom schedule during testing time because so many students are out of class taking another test. Non-tested classes are disrupted.
 - + Teachers are shuffled for proctoring and lose valuable planning time during the testing window. Allow teachers to test their own students.
 - + The months of December and May are lost due to testing.
 - + Reduce the number of "pilot tests".

- +More teacher input is needed.
 - + Allow teachers to develop assessments.
 - + Allow assessments to be graded in-house for efficiency and cost savings.
 - + Teachers want to teach the material and not teach how to take a test. "Let teachers teach."
 - + "Trust teachers"

- + Need clear, consistent standards that are aligned to the test. Teachers also need more training and access to appropriate instructional materials.
 - + The standards are very broad. Clarity is needed regarding precisely what teachers should be teaching. Make objectives concise and specific.
 - + Maintain consistency in standards and testing. Allow for a greater transition period when changes occur.
 - + Increase the quality of tests to be more aligned with the standards (KCS tests and State tests).
 - + Curved scores may be misleading to students. Grade does not always reflect mastery.
 - + Teachers should be provided with instructional materials that support best instructional practices and maximize the use of classroom time.
 - + Train teachers on the new testing format and provide practice test so they can adequately prepare their students.

- + Testing schedules are a challenge.
 - + It is difficult for teachers to continue instructional time when many of their students are out taking other exams.
 - + More computers are needed to effectively manage online testing.
 - + Schools need dedicated staff to coordinate assessment schedules.

- + Tests should have a lower impact on teacher evaluations and student grades.
 - + High stakes testing creates stress for teachers and students.
 - + Teacher evaluations should not be as heavily impacted by student assessment.
 - + Student grades should not be as heavily impacted by high stakes testing.

- + Concerns that testing is not differentiated and individualized as classroom instruction is.
 - + Assessments should be appropriate to the skill level of the students (ex. special education).
 - + Pre and post test will give a better measure of growth.
 - + Teachers should utilize more problem based learning. Teach and test problem solving skills.
 - + Suggestions to use portfolios or ACT style tests that measure all areas at once.
 - + Assessments should be shorter and more frequent to get an accurate measure of growth.

- + Need more meaningful feedback on assessments and better communication with parents and students.
 - + There is a need for feedback that is more useful to teachers.
 - + Feedback on assessments should be better communicated with students and parents.